UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/809,117	03/25/2004	Sei Kato	16UL02206	6643
Patrick W. Raso	7590 08/19/200 ehe	EXAMINER		
Armstrong Teasdale LLP Suite 2600 One Metropolitan Square			ROZANSKI, MICHAEL T	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
St. Louis, MO 6			3768	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/19/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/809,117	KATO, SEI				
		Examiner	Art Unit				
		MICHAEL ROZANSKI	3768				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication a or Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the	e correspondence address				
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOR STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPERIOR IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by static reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply but will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS ute, cause the application to become ABAND	ION. e timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)[\	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 13	November 2007					
•	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>13 November 2007</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.						
′=	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
٥/١	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
4)⊠	I)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
•	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
	☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ☐ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are rejected.						
	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	or election requirement.					
	on Papers	•					
	•						
•	The specification is objected to by the Exami		d to but he Eversines				
10)[2]	The drawing(s) filed on 25 March 2004 is/are	<i>i</i> — · <i>i</i> — <i>i</i>	•				
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		• •				
44	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 							
2) Notic 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Sumn Paper No(s)/Ma 5) Notice of Inform 6) Other:					

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. In addition to inquiry of whether a claimed method falls within a judicial exception, Supreme Court precedent (Diamond v. Diehr, 450 U.S. 175, 184 (1981); Parker v. Flook, 437 U.S. 584, 588 n.9 (1978); Gottschalk v. Benson, 409 U.S. 63, 70 (1972); Cochrane v. Deener, 94 U.S. 780,787-88 (1876).) and recent Federal Circuit decisions, require that a claim drawn to a process must (1) be tied to another statutory class (such as a particular apparatus) or (2) transform underlying subject matter (such as an article or materials) to a different state or thing. If neither of these requirements is met by the claim, the method is not a patent eligible process under 35 U.S.C. 101 and is improperly directed to nonstatutory subject matter. Thus, to qualify as a 35 U.S.C. 101 statutory process, the claim should positively recite the other statutory class (the thing or product) to which it is tied or positively recite the subject matter that is being transformed. As claims 1-8 are not tied to another statutory class, nor do they positively recite subject matter being transformed, they are improperly directed to nonstatutory subject matter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Application/Control Number: 10/809,117

Art Unit: 3768

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Page 3

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1, 6-9, and 16-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jago et al (US 5,938,607).

Jago et al disclose an ultrasonic imaging system provided to aid in the diagnosis of patient conditions by providing access from the ultrasound system to a library of reference ultrasonic images. The image library is catalogued in accordance with an image characteristic such as the type of examination, the part of the body, or the type of pathology shown in the image, and the images of the library are accessed in accordance with these characteristics. The image library may be remotely located and accessible by a number of ultrasound systems over a network, or it may be located on the ultrasound system on a system disk drive. Preferably, reference images are concurrently displayed side-by-side (col 2, lines 37-40) with real-time patient images to aid in discerning the patient's condition (see Abstract). Such would also be useful in the training of new ultrasound system users (col 10, lines 34-36). Specifically, the ultrasound system 10 includes the conventional components including scanhead 14 with transducer 12. The beams of echo information are processed by a signal processor 64 in accordance with the type of diagnostic information that is obtained such as B mode or Doppler (col 2, lines 52-66). A browser 120 included with the ultrasound system 10 is compiled with software code which steers received system preset data to

the appropriate area of the ultrasound system, where it can be utilized by the ultrasound system controller to control the functioning of the system. When the operator uses the browser to access system preset data from another ultrasound system or data storage device, the steering code directs the received system preset data to scan parameter storage 82, where it is stored as custom preset data. The ultrasound system controller 18 will then initialize the ultrasound system to perform ultrasonic scanning in accordance with the operator's custom system presets (col 7, lines 25-49).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 2-5 and 10-15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jago et al as applied to claims 1 and 9 in view of Hossack et al (2002/0120195).

Jago et al substantially discloses the invention as claimed including displaying the images side-by-side but do not calculate a correlation coefficient between the images. Hossack et al teach of calculating a correlation coefficient that is further displayed [0168, 0176]. It would have been obvious to the skilled artisan to modify Jago et al, to calculate and display a correlation coefficient as taught by Hossack et al, because such would be useful to the operator in comparing the images for diagnostic and/or training purposes.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Please note the new 101 rejection, cited to expedite prosecution.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MICHAEL ROZANSKI whose telephone number is (571)272-1648. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8-4:30.

Application/Control Number: 10/809,117 Page 6

Art Unit: 3768

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Brian Casler can be reached on 571-272-4956. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Eric F Winakur/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3768

MR